

FIG. 1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

		Features					
Transcripts	g_{XJ}	$F^{(1)}$	$F^{(2)}$	$F^{(3)}$	$F^{(4)}$	$F^{(5)}$	$F^{(6)}$
	T_{1J}	1	1	0	0	1	1
	T_{3J}	1	0	0	0	1	0
	T_{3J}	0	1	1	1	0	0
	T_{kJ}	0	0	1	0	0	1
	T_{mJ}	1	0	1	1	0	1
		$X_J^{(1)}$	$X_J^{(2)}$	$X_J^{(3)}$	$X_J^{(4)}$	$X_J^{(5)}$	$X_J^{(6)}$

FIG. 7

		Experiments					
Probes	$y_{i,j}$	$E^{(1)},$	$E^{(2)},$	$E^{(3)},$	$E^{(4)},$	$E^{(j)},$	$E^{(en)}$
	$P_{fn,1}$	$y_{1,1}$	$y_{1,2}$	$y_{1,3}$	$y_{1,4}$	$y_{1,j}$	$y_{1,en}$
	$P_{fn,2}$	$y_{2,1}$	$y_{2,2}$	$y_{2,3}$	$y_{2,4}$	$y_{2,j}$	$y_{2,en}$
	$P_{fn,3}$	$y_{3,1}$	$y_{3,2}$	$y_{3,3}$	$y_{3,4}$	$y_{3,j}$	$y_{3,en}$
	$P_{fn,i}$	$y_{i,1}$	$y_{i,2}$	$y_{i,3}$	$y_{i,4}$	$y_{i,j}$	$y_{i,en}$
	$P_{fn,pm}$	$y_{pm,1}$	$y_{pm,2}$	$y_{pm,3}$	$y_{pm,4}$	$y_{pm,j}$	$y_{pm,en}$

FIG. 8




FIG. 9

Minimization




FIG. 10

Model Fitting




FIG. 11

EXPRESSION OF CD44 IN HUMAN BREAST BENIGN DISEASE, TUMORS, AND METASTASIS

Reference	Technique	Tissue Sample	CD44 Expression	Observation
Matsumura & Tarin, 1992	RT-PCR	Benign disease Non met br. ca. Met. br. ca.	Complex Complex Complex	Over expression of spliced variants in malignant tumors
Joensuu <i>et al.</i> , 1993	IHC	Br. ca.	s	CD44 (+): poor differentiation Not an independent factor
Kaufman <i>et al.</i> , 1995	IHC	Benign disease Br. ca. Mets (lymph)	Neg. v5, v6, v3, v10 v3, v5, v6	v6, independent prognostic factor
Friedrichs <i>et al.</i> , 1995	IHC, RT-PCR	Node (-) br. ca. Node (+) br. ca.	s, v8, v9 s, v8, v9	s, v8, v9 are not markers of tumor Progression
Sinn <i>et al.</i> , 1995	IHC	Hyperplasia Br. ca. Mets (lymph)	Neg. v3-v10 v3-v10	v3/v4 and v6 correlate with increased tumor grade
Rodriguez <i>et al.</i> , 1995	RT-PCR	Node (-) br. ca. Node (+) br. ca. Mets (lymph; distr.)	Complex Complex Complex	v6, no association with lymph node invasion v6, v7, expressed in ER (-) tumors
Schumacher, <i>et al.</i> , 1998	IHC	Br. ca.	v6	v6 (-) tumors, no deaths in the first 2.5 years
Gurlec <i>et al.</i> , 1996	RT-PCR	Benign disease Br. ca.	v2 v2	v2 correlates with poor outcome
Tempfer <i>et al.</i> , 1996	IHC	Br. ca. Mets (lymph)	v5, v6, v7/v8 v5, v6, v7/v8	v6 correlates with poor overall survival, tumor grade, and lymph node mets Not an independent prognostic factor
Charpin <i>et al.</i> , 1997	IHC	Br. ca.	s, v6	v6 expression is independent of age, tumor size, type, lymph node status, ER, or PR
Tokuue <i>et al.</i> , 1998	IHC, RT-PCR	Br. ca.	v2, v6	v2 correlates with poor survival v6 does not correlate with poor survival
Bankfalvi <i>et al.</i> , 1998	IHC	Benign disease Br. ca.	s, v5, v6, v7, v9 s, v5, v6, v7, v9, v3, v4	v3, v4 possible markers of malignancy
Jansen <i>et al.</i> , 1998	IHC	Br. ca.	v6	v6 is not a prognostic factor

FIG. 12

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☒ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.